3.1 Opening remarks the Chairman

3.2 Confirmation of minutes of 2nd meeting held on 15-16 January, 2017 at Indira Paryavaran Bhawan, Jor Bagh Road, New Delhi

The EAC, while confirming minutes of its 2nd meeting held on 15-16 January, 2018, took note of the suggestions of the members and recommended for corrections therein as under:

2.4.6.2 The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal, recommended for the following:

(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued or renewed till the project is granted EC by the concerned regulatory authority.

(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.

(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

2.4.12.2 The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal, recommended for the following:

(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate to be issued or renewed till the project is granted EC by the concerned regulatory authority.

(ii) The State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) also to take note of continuing industrial operations by the unit without obtaining prior EC, and recommend actions to the State Government/SPCB to stop the same.

(iii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.

(iv) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

2.4.14.2 The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal, recommended for the following:

(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of Air Act, 1981 and the Water Act, 1974 for not complying with the conditions stipulated in the Consent for Operation (Addendum condition No.IV) vide letter dated 30th March, 2012.
(ii) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 for operating the unit without prior EC, and further no consent to operate to be issued or renewed till the project is granted EC by the concerned regulatory authority.

(iii) The State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) also to take note of continuing industrial operations by the unit without obtaining prior EC, and recommend the actions to stop the same.

(iv) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.

(v) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

In respect of other items, minutes were confirmed as already put in public domain.

3.3 Consideration of proposals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.3.1</td>
<td>Group Housing project ‘Panache’ at District Center, Scheme No.8, Ghandhi Nagar, Alwar (Rajasthan) by M/s Goldendunes Colonizers Pvt. Ltd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3.1.1</td>
<td>The residential project involves construction of group housing project ‘Panache’ with total built up area of 28380.49sqmin total plot area of 4,670sqm at District Center, Scheme No.8, Ghandhi Nagar, Alwar, (Rajasthan) by M/s Goldendunes Colonizers Pvt. Ltd.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Rajasthan based on the appraisal by SEAC.

The application for seeking EC was submitted to SEIAA on 23rd November, 2015, whereas, construction was started in September, 2013. The proposal for grant of EC was first considered by the SEAC in its meeting held on 25th February, 2016. By that time, the project was reported to be completed. The project proponent were informed by SEIAA to approach to the Ministry for consideration of the proposal in pursuance of the Ministry’s Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.

| 3.3.1.2 | The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation |
of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-

(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.

(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.

(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

3.3.2 Group Housing project ‘Vivanta’ at Plot No.C-1/A, Balaji Market Yojna, Shrinathpuram, Kota (Rajasthan) by M/s Goldendunes Buildhome Pvt Ltd.

[IA/RJ/NCP/72383/2017] [F. No. 23-10/2018-IA.III]

3.3.2.1 The residential project involves construction of group housing project ‘Vivanta’ with total built up area of 32663.41sqm in total plot area of 5,964.20sqm at Plot No. C-1/A, Balaji Market Yojna, Shrinathpuram, Kota (Rajasthan) by M/s Goldendunes Buildhome Pvt Ltd.

The project site is permitted for commercial cum residential use as per approved Master Plan of the area. Planning/building permission was initially obtained from Urban Improvement Trust, Kota vide letter dated 4th August, 2014, which was later revised on 19th May, 2016.

The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Rajasthan based on the appraisal by SEAC.

The application for seeking EC was submitted to SEIAA on 8th July, 2015, whereas, construction was started prior to that i.e. on 20th August, 2014. The proposal for grant of EC was first considered by the SEAC in its meeting held on 28th December, 2015. By that time, the project was reported to be completed. The project proponent were informed by SEIAA to approach to the Ministry for consideration of the proposal in pursuance of the Ministry’s Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.

3.3.2.2 The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-

(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.

(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.
(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

3.3.3

Group Housing project at Avas Vikas Parishad Housing Colony, Veerbhadra Marg Yojna, Rishikesh (Uttarakhand) by M/s Sunrise Technobuild LLP

[IA/UK/NCP/72389/2017] [F. No. 23-11/2018-IA.III]

3.3.3.1

The project involves construction of residential/group housing complex with total built up area of 30,669.12 sqm in total plot area of 12,226.29 sqm at Plot No. GH-1 in Awas Vikas Parishad Housing Colony, Veerbhadra Marg Yojna, Rishikesh (Uttarakhand) by M/s Sunrise Techno Build LLP.

The project site is permitted for residential cum commercial use as per approved Master Plan of the area. Planning/building permission was initially obtained from UP Housing & Development Board vide letter dated 23rd September, 2009, which was later revised on 20th March, 2017 due to increase in building height (12 m to 30 m).

The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Uttarakhand based on the appraisal by SEAC.

The construction was started in January, 2011 and 30% of the built up area was reported to be completed by December, 2012 without obtaining the prior EC. It was informed that no proposal was submitted to the SEAC/SEIAA for consideration. Now the proposal has been submitted for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry’s Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.

3.3.3.2

The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-

(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.

(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.

(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

3.3.4

Residential project ‘Skyline – II’ at plot bearing Old Survey No. 216 New Survey No. 48 Hissa No. 2 Old Survey No. 221 New Survey No. 53 Hissa No. 2 Old Survey No. 222 New Survey 54 Hissa No.2, village Penkarpada, Thane...
### 3.3.4.2

The project involves demolition of old structures and construction of residential buildings ‘Skyline-ll’ as redevelopment under Maharashtra Housing and Area Development Authority (MHADA) scheme by M/s Unique Shanti Neminath Developers LLP. The total built-up area is 32,554.96 sqm in a total plot area of 12,665.50 sqm at Old Survey No. 216, New Survey No. 48, Hisa No. 2, Old Survey No. 221, New Survey No. 53, Hisa No. 2, Old Survey No. 222, New Survey No. 54, Hisa No. 2, Village Penkarpada, Thane (Maharashtra).

Planning/building permission was obtained from Mira Bhayander Municipal Corporation vide their letter dated 13th December, 2013, after No objection certificate from MHADA on 24th June, 2013.

The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Maharashtra based on the appraisal by SEAC.

The application for seeking EC was submitted to SEAC-II in Maharashtra on 19th May, 2016, which was appraised in its meeting held on 24th August, 2016. During the meeting, the Committee observed that construction admmeasuring 25,660.57 sqm was already completed without obtaining the prior EC, which amounts to provisions of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006. The proposal was deferred for its consideration afresh after compliance of their observations. There has been no further communication/direction from SEAC/SEIAA for further action.

### 3.3.4.3

The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-

1. **(i)** The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.

2. **(ii)** Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.

3. **(iii)** The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

### 3.3.5

**Redevelopment of property at CTS No. 8, Borla Village, N. G. Acharya Marg, Chembur, Mumbai-71, Maharashtra by M/s Nav Durga Construction Company**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[IA/MH/NCP/69846/2017] [F. No. 23-13/2018-IA.III]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### 3.3.5.1

The project involves redevelopment of residential project of existing dilapidated buildings with total built-up area of 59,852.92 sqm in total plot area of 11,541.28 sqm at Old Survey No. 221, New Survey No. 53, Hisa No. 2, Old Survey No. 222, New Survey No. 54, Hisa No. 2, Village Penkarpada, Thane (Maharashtra).
Planning/building permission was obtained from Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM) vide their letter dated 13\textsuperscript{th} December, 2013, after No objection certificate from MHADA on 24\textsuperscript{th} June, 2013.

The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Tamil Nadu based on the appraisal by SEAC.

The application for seeking EC was submitted to SEAC-II in Maharashtra on 11\textsuperscript{th} December, 2014, which was appraised in its meeting held on 23\textsuperscript{rd} July, 2015. During the meeting, the Committee observed that out of 7 buildings (B1, B2, B3, B4, B5, B6 & A1), 5 buildings (B1, B2, B3, B4 & B5) with total construction area of 33820.50 sqm were already constructed without obtaining the prior EC. The Committee referred the matter to SEIAA for ascertaining alleged violation and action on violation under the EP Act, 1986.

Based on recommendations of SEAC, the Environment Department of the State Government vide letter dated 12\textsuperscript{th} February, 2016 issued directions u/s 5 of the EP Act, 1986 for the alleged violation of the EIA Notification, 2006, which were replied by the project proponent on 10\textsuperscript{th} March, 2016 & then on 2\textsuperscript{nd} February, 2017. There has been no further communication/direction from SEAC/SEIAA for further action.

During deliberations, the Committee noted that the matter was still pending with the State Government of Maharashtra for adjudicating on their directions based on the reply submitted by the project proponent. Meanwhile, the Ministry has issued the OM dated 7\textsuperscript{th} July, 2017 regarding clarification on the date of applicability of the Notification S.O.695(E) dated 4\textsuperscript{th} April, 2011 defining ‘Built up Area’ of the project. The project proponent claimed to consider the proposal accordingly, and not to treat the case to be violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.

The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14\textsuperscript{th} March, 2017, opined that the State Government should first examine the proposal vis-a-vis the Ministry’s OM dated 7\textsuperscript{th} July, 2017 to decide further course of action. The Committee further desired that the closure to the case should be done by the State Government of Maharashtra/SEIAA, and then only this case can be considered by this Ministry.

Commercial Complex project at Survey Nos. 424/19, 424/7, 424/11, 424/10, 424/12, 13, 424/12, 424/14, 423/1, 423/4, 5, 423/4, 423/4, 5, 423/3, 8, 9, Thrikkakara Village, Kalamassery Municipality, Kanayanoor Taluk, District Ernakulam (Kerala) by M/s Nippon Motor Corporation Pvt. Ltd.

[IA/KL/NCP/70171/2017] [F. No. 23-14/2018-IA.III]

The project involves construction of commercial complex (multi-storied mercantile building) by M/s Nippon Motor Corporation Pvt. Ltd., with total built up area of 35,769.28sqm in plot area of 10,188 sqm at Survey Nos.424/19, 424/7, 424/11, 424/10, 424/12, 13, 424/12, 424/14, 423/1, 423/4, 5, 423/4, 423/4, 5, 423/3, 8, 9, Thrikkakara Village, Kalamassery Municipality, Kanayanoor Taluk, District Ernakulam (Kerala).
Planning/building permit was obtained from Municipal Council, Kalamassery, District Ernakulam (Kerala) vide their letter dated 17th June, 2008. Other approvals included consent to establish from the SPCB dated 20th December, 2011, consent to operate dated 26th March, 2012, etc.

The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Kerala based on the appraisal by SEAC.

The construction was started on 1st September, 2008 and reported to be completed by 1st November, 2010 without obtaining the prior EC. It was informed that no proposal was submitted to the SEAC/SEIAA for consideration. Now the proposal has been submitted for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry’s Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.

### 3.3.6.2

The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:

(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.

(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.

(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

### 3.3.7

Development of ‘TeerthTechnosapce- IT Park’ at Sr. No. 103, H. No. 2-14, Baner, Taluka Mulshi, District Pune (Maharashtra) by M/s Teerth Developers &Teerth Realties

[IA/MH/NCP/69766/2017] [F. No. 23-15/2018-IA.III]

### 3.3.7.1

The project involves construction of IT Park ‘TeerthTechnospace’ having total built up area of 32154.04sqm in total plot area of 9736 sqm at Sr. No.103, H. No. 2-14, Baner, Taluka Mulshi, District Pune (Maharashtra) promoted by M/s Teerth Developers & Teerth Realties.

The project site is permitted for residential use as per approved Master Plan of the area. Planning/building permission was initially obtained from the Pune Municipal Corporation, Pune vide letter dated 16th July, 2008.

The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Tamil Nadu based on the appraisal by SEAC.
The application for seeking EC was submitted to SEAC-III in Maharashtra on 10th December, 2012, which was appraised in its meeting held on 29th May, 2014. During the meeting, the Committee observed that the project proponent has initiated construction work without obtaining the prior EC. The Committee referred the matter to the Environment Department/SEIAA for ascertaining the alleged violation and action under the EP Act, 1986. The proposal was to be appraised only after due examination and appropriate action taken by the SEIAA/Environment Department.

Based on recommendations of SEAC, the Environment Department of the State Government vide letter dated 5th July, 2014 issued directions u/s 5 of the EP Act, 1986 for the alleged violation of the EIA Notification, 2006, which were replied by the project proponent on 18th July, 2015 followed by personal hearing on 20th January, 2015. The State Government, after taking note of the submissions of the project proponent, confirmed the directions u/s 5 of the EP Act, 1986 on 21st February, 2015, with the directions to stop the construction work till EC is obtained from the competent authority. In compliance of the same, the complaint case No.1292/15 was filed by the MPCB in the court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, which was disposed off on 17th March, 2016.

Meanwhile, the proposal was again considered by the SEAC in its meetings held on 26th August, 2015 & 24th November, 2015, and then by SEIAA on 11th March, 2016. The Authority, after deliberations, came to the conclusion that in view of the blatant violation and utter disregard of the provisions contained in the EP Act, 1986, the proposal is not a fit case for grant of EC, and the proposal to be delisted accordingly.

Now the proposal has been submitted for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry’s Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.

3.3.7.2 The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-

(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.

(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.

(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

3.3.8 ‘Viva Sarovar’ at Survey No.63/5, 63/6/1+7+8+9, Ambegaon, District Pune (Maharashtra) by M/s Viva Swastik Associates

[IA/MH/NCP/69738/2017] [F. No. 23-16/2018-IA.III]

3.3.8.2 The project involves construction of residential cum commercial complex ‘Viva Sarovar’ with total built up area of 81,270.83 sqm in a total plot area of 30,150sqm at
Survey No.63/5, 63/6/1+7+8+9Ambegaon, District Pune (Maharashtra) by M/s Viva Swastik Associates.

The project site is permitted for residential cum commercial use as per approved Master Plan of the area. Planning/building permission for built up area of 40132.29 sqm was obtained from the Directorate of Town & Country Planning, Pune vide letter dated 17th July, 2010, followed by non-agricultural use order by the District Collector, Pune on 6th September, 2010. The building permission was subsequently revised for total built up area of 81270.83 sqm vide letter dated 20th June, 2014.

The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Maharashtra based on the appraisal by SEAC.

The application for seeking EC was submitted to SEAC-III/SEIAA in Maharashtra on 15th February, 2011, which was appraised in its meeting held in May, 2014. During the meeting, the Committee observed that the project proponent has initiated construction work without obtaining the prior EC and thus violated provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006. The Committee referred the matter to the Environment Department/SEIAA for ascertaining the alleged violation and action under the EP Act, 1986.

Based on recommendations of SEAC, the Environment Department of the State Government vide letter August, 2014 issued directions u/s 5 of the EP Act, 1986 for the alleged violation of the EIA Notification, 2006, which were replied by the project proponent on 25th August, 2014 followed by personal hearing on 20th January, 2015. The State Government, after taking note of the submissions of the project proponent, confirmed the directions u/s 5 of the EP Act, 1986 on 13th February, 2015, with the directions to stop the construction work till EC is obtained from the competent authority. In compliance of the same, the complaint case No.401328/15 was filed by the MPCB in the court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Pune, still pending for disposal.

Meanwhile, the proposal was again considered by the SEAC in its meetings held in August, 2015 & November, 2015, January, 2016 and recommended for grant of EC on 12th February, 2016. Based on recommendations of the SEAC, SEIAA in its meeting held in April, 2016, came to the conclusion that in view of the blatant violation and utter disregard of the provisions contained in the EP Act, 1986, the proposal is not a fit case for grant of EC, and the proposal to be delisted accordingly. The Authority also decided to consider the case only after the complaint case No.401328/15 filed in the court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Pune is decided.

3.3.8.3 The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-

(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.

(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.

(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent
to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

3.3.9 Construction of Group housing complex at Sy. No. 246/1, Manikonda Jagir village, Rajendra Nagar Mandal, District Rangareddy (Telangana) by M/s Telugu Cine Workers Co-operative Housing Society Ltd.

[IA/TG/NCP/64096/2017] [F. No. 23-17/2018-IA.III]

3.3.9.2 The project involves construction of group housing complex with a total built up area of 523094.72 sqm in a total plot area of 272788.93 sqm at Sy. No.246/1, Manikonda Jagir village, Rajendra Nagar Mandal, Rangareddy District (Telangana) by M/s Telugu Cine Workers Co-operative Housing Society Ltd.

The project site is permitted for residential use as per approved Master Plan of the area. Planning/building permit was obtained from Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority vide letter No.2920/GHS/CDA/07 dated 16th March, 2009.

The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(b) of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Telangana based on the appraisal by SEAC.

The construction was started in September, 2009 and 60% of the built up area was reported to be completed by November, 2016 (when applied to SEAC) without obtaining the prior EC. Now the proposal has been submitted for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry’s Notification dated 14th March, 2017 due to violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.

3.3.9.3 The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-

(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.

(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.

(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

3.3.10 Expansion of clinker production from 2.97 MTPA to 3.50 MTPA (Line-I) and installation of additional plant (Line-II) to produce 2.50 MTPA clinker & 1.50 MTPA cement by M/s Jaiprakash Associates Ltd.
### 3.3.10.1
The project involves expansion of clinker production from 2.97 MTPA to 3.50 MTPA (Line-I) and installation of additional plant (Line-II) to produce 2.50 MTPA clinker & 1.50 MTPA cement in a total area of 166.01 ha located at Baga village, Tehsil Arki, District Solan (HP) by M/s Jaypee Himachal Cement Plant (JHCP), a unit of Jaiprakash Associates Limited.

The ToR for the project (Line-I) was issued by the Ministry on 15th July, 2015. Later, for combined Line-I & Line-II (clinker production from 2.97 to 6 MTPA and cement from 2.54 to 4.04 MTPA), the ToR was issued vide letter dated 29th September, 2015. After the public hearing conducted on 2nd February, 2017, which was considered by the sectoral EAC in its meeting held on 7th April, 2017. During deliberations, the Committee noted that the project was earlier issued EC vide letter dated 18th May, 2006 for clinker production of 2.05 MTPA and 2.54 MTPA of cement. The said EC was later amended on 24th December, 2013 for increase in clinker production from 2.05 to 2.97 MTPA (Line-I). However, taking note of the clinker production higher than the sanctioned one before the amendment, the sectoral Committee referred the matter for consideration in pursuance to the Ministry’s Notification dated 14th March, 2017.

### 3.3.10.2
During deliberations the EAC noted that violation by the project which was commissioned in the year 2010 after obtaining EC from the Ministry on 18th May, 2006 for a capacity of 2.05 MTPA of clinker was for excess production of clinker during 2010-11 (2.27 MTPA), 2011-12 (2.29 MTPA) &2012-13 (2.97 MTPA), which was later regularized through an amendment in the EC by the Ministry on 24th December, 2013. Therefore, the period of violation of EC was from 2010 to December, 2013. Further, the Ministry has asked for credible action by the project proponent as per the extant OM before grant of amendment on 24th December, 2013.

### 3.3.10.3
The EAC, after deliberations, advised the project proponent to provide the closure action report from the State or any other statutory authority, with whom the case is pending, before seeking EC for the expansion of Line-I & addition of Line-II for a cumulative production of 6 MTPA of clinker and 4.04 MTPA of cement. It is only based on the closure report, the sectoral EAC (Industry-1) may consider the proposal.

### 3.3.11
Development of Hindon Elevated Road from NH-24 (UP Gate) to Rajnagar Extension at Ghaziabad (UP) by M/s Ghaziabad Development Authority

**[IA/UP/NCP/69076/2017] [F. No. 23-19/2018-IA.III]**

#### 3.3.11.1
The project involves development of 6 lane Hindon Elevated Road from NH-24 (UP Gate) to Rajnagar Extension at Ghaziabad (UP) with total length of the project as 10.30 km and total built-up area 2,28,660 sqm by Ghaziabad Development Authority.

#### 3.3.11.2
The National Green Tribunal, Principal Bench at New Delhi, vide order dated 20th September, 2016 in OA No.180 of 2015 in the matter of ‘Sushil Raghav Vs Union of India & others’ has held that the project is covered under Entry 8(b) of the schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006 and is obligatory upon GDA and the State to obtain EC from SEIAA/MoEF&CC. Hon'ble Tribunal has directed that the project proponent should apply for obtaining EC within 3 months from the date of pronouncement of the judgment. Upon receipt of the application, the concerned regulatory shall consider the application for grant of EC in accordance with the prescribed procedure in terms of
Regulation of 2006 and dispose of the same as expeditiously as possible, in any case not later than 6 months from the date of filing of the application.

3.3.11.3 In compliance of the directions of the NGT, the proposal was submitted to SEIAA/SEAC in UP on 26th November, 2016 for grant of ToR. The project was granted ToR by SEIAA, UP on 19th April, 2017.

The proposal for EC was submitted to this Ministry vide online proposal No.IA/UP/NCP/65728/2016 dated 21st June, 2017 due to SEAC/SEIAA in the State not in existence at that stage. The proposal was considered by the sectoral EAC (Infra-2) in its meeting held on 13 September, 2017. During the meeting, the Committee noted that the project proponent has already started the construction works and still going on. Further, the Committee acknowledging the case involving violation of the EIA Notification, 2006, suggested the project proponent to apply under violation case.

As observed by the sectoral EAC (Infra-2), the project proponent has submitted another proposal No.IA/UP/NCP/69076/2017 dated 13th September, 2017 for its consideration in pursuance of this Ministry’s Notification dated 14th March, 2017.

3.3.11.4 During deliberations on the proposal, the EAC noted the following:-

The proposal, though referred by the EAC (Infra-2) to this Committee, does not seem to involve violation of the EIA Notification, 2006, and even the Hon’ble NGT while giving its decision has not categorised the same as a case of violation.

The project proponent, on the directives of Hon’ble NGT, approached SEIAA, UP on 26th November, 2016 and sought ToR covering directions given in the order dated 20th September, 2016. SEIAA, while granting the ToR on 19th April, 2017, has informed that its tenure stands expired in February, 2017. The proponent, therefore, submitted the proposal to this Ministry for appraisal by EAC (Infra-2) in the absence of SEIAA, UP. Since, the project is almost completed, normal ToR for conducting EIA studies would not be relevant, and therefore the EAC (Infra-2) recommended for consideration of the proposal by this Committee.

The SEIAA in UP has since been reconstituted vide Ministry’s Notification dated 15th October, 2017 and presently functional.

3.3.11.5 The Committee, after deliberations, was of the opinion that the project would not attract violation of the EIA Notification, 2006, and might not be covered under the ambit of this Ministry’s Notification dated 14th March, 2017. But at the same time, the project needs to be appraised for the EIA, which should address the adverse impact on environment, ecology, biodiversity particularly the bird sanctuary and water bodies at or around the project site, and for preparation of a proper Environmental Management Plan to address the said issues as per the orders of Hon’ble NGT.

The EAC recommended that the case, therefore, can be referred to SEIAA, UP which is statutory body for appraisal of category B projects under entry 8(b) of the schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006. The Authority may appraise the project with special focus on damage assessment of ecology and remediation plan, natural and community resource augmentation plan, with reference to directives of the orders of Hon’ble NGT.
### Day 2: Wednesday, 31st January, 2018
**Time:** 10:00 AM

**3.4.1** Construction of proposed Group Housing and Staff Quarters at UPSRTC Campus, Vikas Nagar, Kanpur (UP) by M/s Kanpur Development Authority  

[IA/UP/MIS/72343/2017] [F. No. 23-20/2018-IA.III]

#### 3.4.1.1

The project involves construction of ‘Group Housing and Staff Quarters’ with total built up area of 135813 sqm in a total plot area of 47682.72 sqm at UPSRTC Campus, Vikas Nagar, Kanpur (UP) promoted by M/s Kanpur Development Authority.

The project has the approval of the Vice-chairman, Kanpur Development Authority vide letter dated 8th July, 2016. Subsequently, approvals have also been obtained from State Fire Department, Airport Authority of India, Kanpur Municipal Corporation.

The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Uttar Pradesh based on the appraisal by SEAC.

The application for seeking EC was submitted to SEIAA on 23rd July, 2016. The proposal for grant of EC was first considered by the SEAC in its meeting held on 27th October, 2016, whereas, construction was started in November, 2016.

The proposal for EC was submitted to this Ministry vide online proposal No.IA/UP/MIS/64738/2017 dated 17th May, 2017 due to SEAC/SEIAA in the State not in existence at that stage. The proposal was considered by the sectoral EAC (Infra-2) in its meeting held on 27th June, 2017. During the meeting, the Committee noted that the project proponent has already started the construction works and reported to be 35% complete. Further, the Committee acknowledging the case involving violation of the EIA Notification, 2006, suggested the project proponent to apply under violation case.

As observed by the sectoral EAC (Infra-2), the project proponent has submitted another proposal No.IA/UP/MIS/66835/2017 dated 20th July, 2017 for its consideration in pursuance of this Ministry’s Notification dated 14th March, 2017.

#### 3.4.1.2

The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-

1. **(i)** The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.

2. **(ii)** Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure, along with public hearing.

3. **(iii)** The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations.
3.4.2 Human Care Medical Charitable Trust (Hospital project)’ at Sector-6, Dwarka, New Delhi by M/s Human Care Medical Charitable Trust

[A/DL/NCP/65243/2017] [F. No. 23-21/2018-IA.III]

3.4.2.1 The project involves construction of hospital with a total built up area of 46953.96 sqm in total plot area of 9545 sqm at Sector-6, Dwarka, New Delhi by M/s Human Care Medical Charitable Trust.

The project site is permitted for institutional use (only for hospital) as per approved Master Plan of Delhi. Planning/building permission was obtained from Delhi Development Authority.

The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Delhi based on the appraisal by SEAC.

The application for EC was first submitted by the project proponent to the Ministry on 21st January, 2015 due to absence of SEAC/SEIAA in the State. The proposal was considered by the sectoral EAC in the Ministry in its meeting held on 23-24 April, 2015. The Committee observed that the construction was already commenced and was in advanced stage without taking prior EC. Being a clear case of violation, the Committee recommended the Ministry to take a view in the matter.

The project filed OA No.300 of 2015 before the NGT, N Delhi with the prayer that the Ministry should be directed to consider their request dated 24th January, 2015 for grant of EC. Hon’ble Tribunal vide order dated 5th October, 2015 disposed off the said OA directing the Ministry to consider the application in accordance with law expeditiously.

The application for seeking EC was submitted to SEAC/SEIAA on 2nd November, 2015. SEAC in its meeting held on 24th January, 2015 observed that since the construction was already commenced and in advanced stage, without obtaining prior EC, it constituted a violation of the EIA Notification, 2006, and the matter was referred to SEIAA. Based on recommendations of SEIAA in its meeting held on 14th January, 2016, the Authority vide letter dated 8th May, 2016 recommended for action under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, issuing direction u/s 5 of the said Act to stop the construction and also to delist the proposal.

The project proponent, having aggrieved with the order dated 8th May, 2016 by SEIAA, filed an Appeal No.30/2016 before the NGT, N Delhi. The NGT vide order dated 26th July, 2016 directed as under:-

‘The project proponent will not raise further construction till specific orders of the Tribunal and would also not be an part of the property for any purpose whatsoever, without the order of the Tribunal and in accordance with the report of the Committee before constituted’

The NGT vide the same order dated 26th July, 2016 levied a penalty of Rs.15 crore as environmental compensation on account and subjected to final directions of the Tribunal. Hon’ble Tribunal also constituted a committee of representatives from...
different departments (CPCB, DPCC, SEIAA Delhi, MoEF&CC and DDA) with the direction to submit a report upon physical inspection and complete appraisal of the project within four weeks. In compliance of the directions, inspection was carried out by the committee on 17th August, 2016 and the report was submitted to Hon'ble Tribunal on 6th September, 2016. Also, the penalty of Rs.15 crore was paid to DPCC on 26th August, 2016 in terms of the same order (para 7.6).

The NGT vide order dated 7th October, 2016 in OA No.485 of 2016, while considering the report of the committee, directed that the project proponent can start their construction but ensuring compliance of all the recommendations of the High Powered Committee. Hon'ble Tribunal vide the same order dated 7th October, 2016, also directed to conduct another inspection and submit a report, not only upon compliance of the recommendations made in the earlier report but also on any other measures or precautionary or preventive steps that the Trust should take in the interest of environment and ecology.

Meanwhile, the construction continued in terms of the orders dated 7th October, 2016. Further, subsequent to the representation dated 11th September, 2017 by the project proponent requesting for site inspection, the site visit was carried out on 9th October, 2017 by the same committee and the report was submitted to Hon'ble Tribunal.

Hon'ble Tribunal vide order dated 26th October, 2017 in OA No.663 of 2017 directed the DPCC to dispose off the consent to operate application in accordance with law, ensuring that recommendations of the joint inspection team are complied with. Also when the consent is granted, the applicant would be permitted to operate in accordance with law. It has been informed that in compliance of the directions vide order dated 26th October, 2017, DPCC vide letter dated 26th November, 2017, has granted authorization under the Bio-Medical Waste Management Rules, 2016 and consent to operate under the Air Act and the Water Act for a period of 3 months from issue of the letter to enable submission of the formal requests along with the required documents and test reports.

Hon'ble NGT vide order dated 18th December, 2017 in OA No.802 of 2017 has directed to expedite the decision on the application of the Applicant for grant of EC in accordance with law preferable within one month.

3.4.2.2 During deliberations, the EAC noted that earlier the sectoral EAC in the Ministry in its meeting held on 23-24 April, 2015 has already observed that the construction was already commenced and was in advanced stage without taking prior EC. Being a clear case of violation, the Committee had recommended the Ministry to take a view in the matter at that stage. The Committee also took note of the recommendations of SEIAA in its meeting held on 24th March, 2017 followed by a formal communication dated 3rd April, 2017 to approach to MoEF for obtaining EC in pursuance of this Ministry’s Notification dated 14th March, 2017.

3.4.2.3 The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-

(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.
(ii) **Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.**

(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

3.4.3 Development of ‘Viva Hallmark’ residential and commercial project at S. No. 31/1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9, 336/1,2,5,6, 337/1,2 & 338/2, village Bavdhan, Badruk, Pune (Maharashtra) By M/s Viva Swaraj Concept Homes

[IA/MH/NCP/69735/2017] [F. No. 23-22/2018-IA.III]

3.4.3.1 The project involves construction of residential and commercial buildings ‘Viva Hallmark’ with a total built up area of 75,917.59 sqm in total plot area of 31,640 sqmat S. No. 331/1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9, 336/1,2,5,6,337/1,2 & 338/2, village Bavdhan, Badruk, District Pune (Maharashtra) by M/s Viva Swaraj Concept Homes.

The project site is permitted for residential cum commercial use as per approved Master Plan of the area. Planning/building permission for built up area of 22061.61 sqm was obtained from the Directorate of Town & Country Planning, Pune vide letter dated 23rd March, 2007, followed by non-agricultural use order by the District Collector, Pune. The building permission was subsequently/finally revised for total built up area of 75917.59 sqm vide letter dated 17th June, 2014.

The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Maharashtra based on the appraisal by SEAC.

The application for seeking EC was submitted to SEAC-III/SEIAA in Maharashtra on 10th February, 2011, which was appraised in its meeting held on 17th June, 2014. During the meeting, the Committee observed that the project proponent has initiated construction work without obtaining the prior EC. The Committee referred the matter to the Environment Department/SEIAA for ascertaining the alleged violation and action under the EP Act, 1986. The proposal was to be appraised only after due examination and appropriate action taken by the SEIAA/Environment Department.

Based on recommendations of SEAC, the Environment Department of the State Government vide letter 4th August, 2014 issued directions u/s 5 of the EP Act, 1986 for the alleged violation of the EIA Notification, 2006, which were replied by the project proponent on 27th August, 2014 followed by personal hearing on 20th January, 2015. The State Government, after taking note of the submissions of the project proponent, confirmed the directions u/s 5 of the EP Act, 1986 on 13th February, 2015, with the directions to stop the construction work till EC is obtained from the competent authority. In compliance of the same, the complaint case No.401327/15 was filed by the MPCB in the court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Pune, still pending for disposal.

Meanwhile, the proposal was again considered by the SEAC in its meetings held on 25th August, 2015 & 23th November, 2015, and recommended on 23rd May, 2016. Subsequent to recommendations of SEAC, SEIAA in its meeting held on 1st July,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.4.3.2</th>
<th>The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&amp;CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.4.4</th>
<th>Proposed residential &amp; commercial project ‘Ayan Residency’ at Survey No. 195, Hisa 1 &amp; 2, village Nilemor, Taluka Vasai, District Palghar (Maharashtra) by M/s. Magnum Home Makers Pvt. Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[IA/MH/NCP/65614/2017] [F. No. 23-23/2018-IA.III]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.4.4.1</th>
<th>The project proponent vide letter dated 30th January, 2018 has expressed their inability to attend the meeting on medical grounds. They have requested to postpone the proposal to the next meeting.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The proposal was, therefore, deferred.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.4.5</th>
<th>Construction of residential Building Complex at old No. 13, New No. 4, Ward No.1, Old Survey No.34/2 part, 37 (part), T.S No. 2/2, Block No. 12 in MTH Road (Presently CTH Road), Padi village, Ambatur Taluk, Thiruvallur District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. Anjli Infra Housing LLP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[23-24/2018-IA.III]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.4.5.1</th>
<th>The project proponent did not attend the meeting. Also, there is no intimation in this regard.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The proposal was, therefore, deferred.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 3.4.6 | ‘Khusaldas Gardens’ residential development at S. No. 231/58, Egmore village, Egmore-Nungambakkam Taluk, Chennai District (Tamil Nadu) by M/s Siddharth N Maher |

2016 noted that the project proponent has completed construction admeasuring 29997.60 sqm without prior EC in violation of the EIA Notification, 2006. The Authority also noted that credible action has been initiated against the project proponent by filing a criminal case vide no.401327/2015 dated 14th September, 2015 in the court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Pune. The case is sub-judice. In view of the above, the Authority, after deliberations, decided to delist the proposal and consider it only outcome of the case.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **3.4.6.1** | The project proponent did not attend the meeting. Also, there is no intimation in this regard.  
*The proposal was, therefore, deferred.* |
| **3.4.7** | **Group Housing project ‘KW Srishti’ at Khasra No. 1125/1, 1125/2 & 1125/3, village Noor Nagar, Pargana Lori, Raj Nagar Extension Ghaziabad (UP) by M/s Dingle Buildcons Private Ltd.** |
| **3.4.7.1** | The project involves construction of group housing ‘KW Srishti’ by M/s Dingle Buildcons Private Ltd, with a total built up area of 195266.44 sqm in total plot area of 40686 sqm at Khasra No.1125/1, 1125/2 & 1125/3, village Noor Nagar, Pargana Lori, Raj Nagar Extension Ghaziabad (UP).  
The project site is permitted for residential use as per approved Master Plan of the area. Planning/b building permission were obtained from Ghaziabad Development Authority vide letter dated .  
The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(b) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Uttar Pradesh based on the appraisal by SEAC.  
The project was earlier granted EC by SEIAA, UP vide letter dated 30th August, 2011 for a total built up area of 97779.347 sqm (998 dwelling units). The construction was started in November, 2011 and developed 1468 dwelling units with total built up area of 195266.442 sqm by July, 2016. |
| **3.4.7.2** | *The EAC observed that the proposal basically involves non-compliance of existing EC conditions, which first needs to be taken note by the Ministry through its Regional Office for verification and necessary action accordingly.*  
*The EAC, after detailed deliberations in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, considered the proposal to be premature for the present, and deferred till cognizance and action taken by the regulatory authority.* |
| **3.4.8** | **Hospital building for Institute of Medical Sciences & Research Center at Survey Nos. 42/4A, 43/4, 49/1, 49/2, 50/1, 5012 & 51/4B of No.24, Palayanoor & ChinnaKolambakkam Village and Survey Nos.109/1A, 2A1 , 2A2, 3A1, 3A2 & 4, 110/1, 2, 3, 4 & 5, 111/1A I, 1A2, 1 B, 1 C, 1D1, 1D2, 1 E, 1F, 2A & 2B, 127/1, 2, 3, 4, 129/2, 130/1B2, 131/7A & 7B of No.23, 112/1, 2,3, 4 & 5, 113, 114/1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7 (Part), 115/1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 116/2A, 2B of Moosivakkam Village, Madhurnathagam Taluk, Kancheepuram District (Tamil Nadu) by M/s KarpagaVinayaga Educational Trust** |
| **3.4.8.1** | The project involves construction of ‘Institute of Medical Sciences & Research Center’ with a total built up area of 163667.41 sqm in total plot area of 283849.81 sqm at S.
The site is permitted for institutional use as per approved Master Plan of the area. Planning/building permission were obtained from Directorate of Town & Country Planning (DTCP), whereas other necessary approvals were taken from the concerned municipal authorities.

The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Tamil Nadu based on the appraisal by SEAC.

The proposal for seeking EC to the project was submitted to SEIAA on 27th January, 2011, which was considered by the SEAC in its meeting held on 10th October, 2012. Subsequent to queries raised by SEIAA vide letters dated 2nd November, 2011, 19th February, 2013, 30th May, 2013 & 18th October, 2013 and replies submitted by the project proponent, the revised proposal for total built up area of 124667 sqm was submitted on 21st January, 2014.

The construction was started in June, 2010 and completed built up area of 124667 sqm in October, 2010.

SEIAA, Tamil Nadu after acknowledging that construction was already completed without obtaining prior EC and thus confirming the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006, directed the project proponent vide letter dated 6th February, 2014, to furnish a resolution expressing apology on the violation already committed.

The proposal was finally delisted by SEIAA vide letter dated 12th November, 2014.

The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:

(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.

(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.

(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

3.4.9 Construction of affordable Housing Scheme "Sanjana Park-II" at village Rau,
3.4.9.1 The project involves construction of residential township ‘Sanjana Park-II’ under affordable housing scheme with a total built up area of 52025 sqm in total plot area of 26690 sqm at village Rau, District Indore (MP) by M/s Meena Devi Agrawal.

The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Madhya Pradesh based on the appraisal by SEAC.

The proposal for seeking EC to the project was submitted to SEIAA on 2nd November, 2015, which was placed before the SEAC in its meetings held on 31st March, 2016 & 1st September, 2016. The project proponent, however, could not attend these meetings reportedly due to no timely information received. Taking note of the same, the proposal was delisted by SEIAA vide letter dated 29th October, 2016.

However, the construction was already started on 4th April, 2015 and completed built up area of 10450 sqm on 2nd November, 2015 i.e. before submitting the proposal to SEIAA.

It has been informed that the project proponent tried to resubmit the proposal to SEIAA, MP. However, the same was reportedly not accepted due to construction already undertaken without prior EC and thus violation of the EIA Notification, 2006.

Now the proposal has been submitted for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry’s Notification dated 14th March, 2017.

3.4.9.2 The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-

(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.

(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.

(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

3.4.10 Commercial Complex ‘Madhav Plaza’ at Huzarat Road, Lashkar, Gwalior (Madhya Pradesh) by M/s. Gwalior Development Authority

[F. No. 23-29/2018-IA.III]

3.4.10.1 The project involves construction of commercial complex ‘Madhav Plaza’ with a total built up area of 26,117.81 sqm in total plot area of 9,305 sqm Huzarat Road, Lashkar,
Gwalior (Madhya Pradesh) by M/s Gwalior Development Authority.

The project site is permitted for residential use as per approved Master Plan of the area. Planning/building permission was obtained from the District Collector, Gwalior.

The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Madhya Pradesh based on the appraisal by SEAC.

The proposal for seeking EC to the project was submitted to SEIAA on 14th May, 2013, which was considered by the SEAC in its meeting held on 29th August, 2013. During the meeting, the Committee observed that construction worth built up area of 23658.48 sqm was already completed without obtaining prior EC. The Committee observed the case to be violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and referred the matter to SEIAA for necessary credible action.

Based on the recommendations, the complaint case was filed by MP Pollution Control Board on 22nd August, 2014 in the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gwalior. Subsequent to the credible action initiated by MPPCB, the case was further considered by the SEAC in its meetings held on 25th February, 2015, 21st May, 2015 & 4th June, 2015. The proposal was finally recommended for grant of EC by the SEAC in its meeting on 30th June, 2015 subject to following special conditions:

(i) The outcome of the legal proceedings against the PP shall be binding on part of the project proponent.
(ii) Other conditions as laid / recommended by the visiting committee shall be applicable.

Subsequent to recommendations by the SEAC, no formal order for grant of EC was issued. Instead, the project was closed and delisted by the SEIAA on 20th March, 2017. Now the proposal has been submitted for consideration in pursuance of the Ministry’s Notification dated 14th March, 2017.

3.4.10.2 The EAC, after detailed deliberations on the proposal in terms of the provisions of the MoEF&CC Notification dated 14th March, 2017, confirmed the case to be of violation of the EIA Notification, 2006 and recommended for the following:-

(i) The State Government/SPCB to take action against the project proponent under the provisions of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, and further no consent to operate or occupancy certificate to be issued till the project is granted EC.

(ii) Grant of Terms of Reference for undertaking EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP) as enumerated in Annexure-I, along with public hearing.

(iii) The project proponent shall be required to submit a bank guarantee equivalent to the amount of remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan with the SPCB prior to the grant of EC. The quantum shall be recommended by the EAC and finalized by the regulatory authority. The bank guarantee shall be released after successful implementation of the EMP, followed by recommendations of the EAC and approval of the regulatory authority.

3.4.11 Hospital & Medical College at village Inayatpur, Tehsil Huzur, Bhopal (M.P.) by M/s Advance Medical Science & Education Society
**[F. No. 23-1/2017-IA.III]**

| 3.4.11.1 | The project involves construction of Medical College & Hospital Campus with 750 bedded hospital (comprising hospital block, medical college block, residential block, hostels and allied structures) having total built up area of 99694 sqm in a total plot area of 101300 sqm at village Inayetpur, tehsil Huzur, District Bhopal (MP).

The project site is permitted for public & semi public use as per approved Master Plan of the area. The required planning/building permission was obtained from the concerned State Authority vide their letter dated 16th April, 2009 followed by No Objection Certificate dated 6th January, 2010 from Office of the Municipal Council, Kolar, District Bhopal (MP).

The said project/activity is covered under category B of item 8(a) of Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006, and requires prior EC from the SEIAA in Maharashtra based on the appraisal by SEAC.

The proposal for EC to the project was first considered by the SEAC in its meeting held on 7th January, 2012, and was recommended by SEAC in its 95th meeting held on 8th May, 2012 with 42 no. of conditions for compliance. The proposal was considered by SEIAA in its 188th meeting held on 18th March, 2015, decided to accept the recommendations of 95th SEAC meeting dated 8th May, 2012 and accord EC to the project for a built up area of 99694 sqm, subject to all specific conditions imposed by SEAC/SEIAA. However, any formal letter in this regard was not issued.

No further information was made available by the project proponent.

| 3.4.11.2 | *Due to inadequate information provided by the project proponent, the proposal was deferred.*

****
Terms of Reference for EIA and preparation of Environment Management Plan (EMP)

- Project description, its importance and the benefits,
- Project site details (location, toposheet of the study area of 10 km, coordinates, google map, layout map, land use, geological features and geo-hydrological status of the study area, drainage),
- Land use as per the approved Master Plan of the area, Permission/approvals required from the land owning agencies, Development Authorities, Local Body, Water Supply & Sewerage Board, etc,
- Land acquisition status, R&R details,
- Forest and Wildlife and eco-sensitive zones, if any in the study area of 10 km - Clearances required under the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980, the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 and/or the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986,
- Baseline environmental study for ambient air \( (\text{PM}_{10}, \text{PN}_{2.5}, \text{SO}_2, \text{NO}_x, \text{CO}) \), water (both surface and ground), noise and soil for one month (except monsoon period) as per MoEF&CC/CPCB guidelines at minimum 5 locations in the study area of 10 km,
- Details on flora and fauna and socio-economic aspects in the study area
- Likely impact of the project on the environmental parameters (ambient air, surface and ground water, land, flora and fauna and socio-economic, etc),
- Source of water for different identified purposes with the permissions required from the concerned authorities, both for surface water and the ground water (by CGWA) as the case may be, Rain water harvesting, etc,
- Waste water management (treatment, reuse and disposal) for the project and also the study area,
- Management of solid waste and the construction & demolition waste for the project vis-a-vis the Solid Waste Management Rules, 2016 and the Construction & Demolition Rules, 2016,
- Energy efficient measures (LED lights, solar power, etc) during construction as well as during operational phase of the project,
- Assessment of ecological damage with respect to air, water, land and other environmental attributes. The collection and analysis of data shall be done by an environmental laboratory duly notified under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, or an environmental laboratory accredited by NABL, or a laboratory of a Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) institution working in the field of environment.
- Preparation of EMP comprising remediation plan and natural and community resource augmentation plan corresponding to the ecological damage assessed and economic benefits derived due to violation.
- The remediation plan and the natural and community resource augmentation plan to be prepared as an independent chapter in the EIA report by the accredited consultants.
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