

The 67th meeting of the Second State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC-II) for mining projects was held on 7th February, 2017 under the Chairmanship of Dr. Navin Chandra for discussion on the query responses submitted by the PP and the projects issues received from SEIAA. The following members attended the meeting-

1. Dr. Mohd. Akram khan, Member.
2. Shri Prashant Shrivastava, Member.
3. Shri Rajendra Kumar Sharma, Member.
4. Shri Anil Kumar Sharma, Member

The Chairman welcomed all the members of the Committee and thereafter agenda items were taken up for deliberations.

1. Case No. - 3941/15 Shri Tejendra Singh, M/s Digiana Industries Pvt. Ltd., G-1, Sapna Chambers, 12/1, South Tukoganj, Indore (MP)-452001. Prior E.C for approval of Sand Quarry in an area of 10.00 ha. (2,25,000 cum/year) at Khasra No.-87/2, Vill.-Aanchalkheda, Tehsil-Babai, District-Hoshangabad (MP)

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located at Khasra No.-87/2, Vill.-Aanchalkheda, Tehsil-Babai, District-Hoshangabad (MP) 10.00 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 1000 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.-2973, dated: 10/08/2015 has reported that there are 06 more mines operating or proposed within 1000 meters around the said mine with total area of 331.669 ha including this mine.

Earlier this case was placed in 57th SEAC-II meeting dated-09/11/2016 wherein it was observed that; *this case was placed in 52nd SEAC-II meeting dated-12/10/2016 wherein it was observed that;*

Please refer the minutes of 252nd SEAC meeting dated-17/12/2015 where TOR were approved

As per the above, the TOR was issued to the PP vide letter no. 24 dated 28/01/2016.

MP State Mining Corporation Limited, Bhopal vide their letter no. 397 dated 09/08/2016 has also informed that it is a case of non-cluster as per GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated 01/07/2016 as this lease was sanctioned prior to the 09/09/2013. Concerned Mining Officer vide

letter no. 483 dated 29/07/2016 has issued a certificate stating that above lease was sanctioned vide order no. F4-1/2010/12/01 dated 22/09/2010 and thus does not falls under the perview of cluster approach as per GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated 01/07/2016.

SEIAA vide letter no. 3173/SEIAA/16 dated 16/08/2016 and 3240/SEIAA/16 dated 26/08/2016 has also instructed to appraise such cases on priority as per GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated 01/07/2016 and thus committee decided that as per the above instructions of SEIAA, all such cases should be appraised on the priority on the basis the lease documents available in the file/representation submitted by the PP.

Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Committee decided to call the PP in subsequent meetings and even it the PP remains absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.”

Today, this case was scheduled again for presentation wherein it is recorded that Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the SEAC-II -52th meeting, dated-12/10/2016. Committee decided to give last chance to PP for making presentation in the subsequent meetings of SEAC after which the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project”.

Case was presented by the PP and their Consultant and during presentation and discussion it was observed by committee that as per the co-ordinates provided by PP in the surface plan & contour map attached with the approved mine plan, the lease area of this case is overlapping with the lease area of other case (no. 4461/2015) as per the co-ordinates provided in the surface plan & contour map attached with the approved mine plans (which is also listed in today's agenda). Hence committee after deliberations decided that PP may be asked to submit Revised Co-ordinates of the lease area which should be duly verified by their concerned mining officer and surface plan approved by concerned Director, Geology & Mining through SEIAA.

2. Case No.-5256/2016 M/s Digiana Industries Pvt. Ltd, Shri Dheeraj Sharma, Hoshangabad, MP – 463001 (SIA/MP/MIN/9856/2016). Prior Environment Clearance for River Sand Quarry in an area of 17.10 ha. (175000 cum/year) at Khasra no.- 01, Village-Khojanpur, Tehsil - Hoshangabad, Dist. Hoshangabad (MP)

This is case of River Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located at Khasra no. - 01, Village-Khojanpur, Tehsil -

Hoshangabad, Dist. Hoshangabad (MP) 17.100 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 500 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no. 2854 dated: 24/7/2015 has reported that there are 01 more mine operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 37.505 ha including this mine.

Earlier this case was placed in 57th SEAC-II meeting dated-09/11/2016 wherein it was observed that; this case was placed in 52nd SEAC-II meeting dated-12/10/2016 wherein it was observed that; *this case was discussed in 43rd SEAC-II meeting dated-28/07/2016 wherein it was observed that; the copy of above notification was made available to all the members of the committee for perusal. In the GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated 01/07/2016 it is stated that "A cluster shall be formed when the distance between the peripheries of one lease is < than 500 meter from the periphery of other lease in a homogeneous mineral area which shall be applicable to the mine leases or query license granted on and after 09/09/2013"*.

Thus committee after deliberations decided that PP should submit revised mining officer certificate clearly stating the number of leases within 500 meters of the periphery of this lease on Khasra map showing the details of all the other leases and their relevant information in following format:

Sl. No.	Name & details of leases	Khasra No.	Area of lease	Name of the minerals and their production capacity	Lease Sanctioned order & date. (please attach copy of lease sanction order)	Present status of lease i.e. operative/propose d/non-operative. If non-operated duration from when it is non-operative with order (if any).	Present status of lease i.e. EC granted/ EC applied. If EC granted- date of EC should be mentioned. (Please attach copy of EC letter.)

The above certificate should be issued by mining officer and that should be duly verified /authenticated by the Director, Geology and Mining or their concerned Regional Officer, Geology and Mining for further consideration of the project.

MP State Mining Corporation Limited, Bhopal vide their letter no.397 dated 09/08/2016 has also informed that it is a case of non-cluster as per GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated

01/07/2016 as this lease was sanctioned prior to the 09/09/2013. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.450 dated 29/07/2016 has issued a certificate stating that above lease was sanctioned vide order no. F4-1/2010/12/01 dated 22/09/2010 and thus does not falls under the perview of cluster approach as per GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated 01/07/2016.

SEIAA vide letter no. 3173/SEIAA/16 dated 16/08/2016 and 3240/SEIAA/16 dated 26/08/2016 has also instructed to appraise such cases on priority as per GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated 01/07/2016 and thus committee decided that as per the above instructions of SEIAA, all such cases should be appraised on the priority on the basis the lease documents available in the file/representation submitted by the PP.

Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Committee decided to call the PP in subsequent meetings and even it the PP remains absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

Today, this case was scheduled again for presentation wherein it is recorded that Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the SEAC-II -52th meeting, dated-12/10/2016. Committee decided to give last chance to PP for making presentation in the subsequent meetings of SEAC after which the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project”.

Case was presented by the PP and their Consultant and during presentation and discussion it was observed by committee that as per the co-ordinates provided by PP in the surface plan & contour map attached with the approved mine plan, the lease area of this case is overlapping with the lease area of other case (no. 4823/2015) as per the co-ordinates provided in the surface plan & contour map attached with the approved mine plans (which is also listed in today’s agenda). Hence committee after deliberations decided that PP may be asked to submit Revised Co-ordinates of the lease area which should be duly verified by their concerned mining officer and surface plan approved by concerned Director, Geology & Mining through SEIAA.

3. Case No.4749/15 Shri Tejendra Singh, M/s Digiana Industries Pvt. Ltd., Sub Lessee of M.P. State Mining Corporation Limited, G-1, M-3, Sapna Chambers, 12/1, South Tukoganj, Hotel Shrimaya ke Piche, Indore . Prior Environment Clearance for approval

of Sand Mine in an area of 15.00 ha. (1,50,000 cum/year) at Khasra No.-1/1, Village-Pawarkheda, Tehsil-Babai, District-Hoshangabad (MP)

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located at Khasra No.-1/1, Village-Pawarkheda, Tehsil-Babai, District-Hoshangabad (MP) 15.00 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 1000 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.2977, dated: 10/08/2015 has reported that there are 05 more mines operating or proposed within 1000 meters around the said mine with total area of 410.555 ha including this mine.

Earlier this case was placed in 57th SEAC-II meeting dated-09/11/2016 wherein it was observed that; *this case was placed in 52nd SEAC-II meeting dated-12/10/2016 wherein it was observed that;*

Please refer the minute of 259 SEAC meeting dated-06/01/2016 where TOR were approved

As per the above, the TOR was issued to the PP vide letter no. 438 dated 02/03/2016.

MP State Mining Corporation Limited, Bhopal vide their letter no. 397 dated 09/08/2016 has also informed that it is a case of non-cluster as per GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated 01/07/2016 as this lease was sanctioned prior to the 09/09/2013. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no. 493 dated 29/07/2016 has issued a certificate stating that above lease was sanctioned vide order no. F4-1/2010/12/01 dated 22/09/2010 and thus does not falls under the perview of cluster approach as per GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated 01/07/2016.

SEIAA vide letter no. 3173/SEIAA/16 dated 16/08/2016 and 3240/SEIAA/16 dated 26/08/2016 has also instructed to appraise such cases on priority as per GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated 01/07/2016 and thus committee decided that as per the above instructions of SEIAA, all such cases should be appraised on the priority on the basis the lease documents available in the file/representation submitted by the PP.

Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Committee decided to call the PP in subsequent meetings and even it the PP remains absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

Today, this case was scheduled again for presentation wherein it is recorded that Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the SEAC-II -52th meeting, dated-12/10/2016. Committee decided to give last chance to PP for making presentation in the subsequent meetings of SEAC after which the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project”.

Case was presented by the PP and their Consultant and during presentation and discussion it was observed that as per the Google image of April 2016, two Co-ordinates of the lease area given in the Mining Plan are falling into river water and the co-ordinates given in approved mine plan are different than the co-ordinates provided in the presentation today. Thus committee after deliberations decided that the PP may be asked to submit Revised Co-ordinates of the lease area which should be duly verified by their concerned mining officer and if the revised co-ordinates matches with the co-ordinates given in mine plan, should submit revised operational production plan leaving the submerged area for further consideration of the project.

4. Case No.4823/15 Shri Tejendra Singh, M/s Digiana Industries Pvt. Ltd., Sub Lessee of M.P. State Mining Corporation Limited, G-1, M-3, Sapna Chambers, 12/1, South Tukoganj, Indore (MP)-452001. Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Mine in an area of 17.100 ha. (1,75,000 cum/year) at Village-Khojanpur, Tehsil-Hoshangabad, District-Hoshangabad (MP).

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is at Khasra no.-01, Village-Khojanpur, Tehsil-Hoshangabad, District-Hoshangabad (MP) 17.100 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 1000 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.2852, dated: -24/07/15, has reported that there are 02 more mines operating or proposed within 1000 meters around the said mine with total area of -54.605 ha including this mine.

Earlier this case was placed in 57th SEAC-II meeting dated-09/11/2016 wherein it was observed that; *this case was placed in 52nd SEAC-II meeting dated-12/10/2016 wherein it was observed that;*

“Please refer the minutes of 17th SEAC-II meeting dated-06/04/2016 where TOR were approved

As per the above, the TOR was issued to the PP vide letter no. 763 dated 25/04/2016.

MP State Mining Corporation Limited, Bhopal vide their letter no.397 dated 09/08/2016 has also informed that it is a case of non-cluster as per GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated 01/07/2016 as this lease was sanctioned prior to the 09/09/2013. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.449 dated 29/07/2016 has issued a certificate stating that above lease was sanctioned vide order no. F4-1/2010/12/01 dated 22/09/2010 and thus does not falls under the perview of cluster approach as per GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated 01/07/2016.

SEIAA vide letter no. 3173/SEIAA/16 dated 16/08/2016 and 3240/SEIAA/16 dated 26/08/2016 has also instructed to appraise such cases on priority as per GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated 01/07/2016 and thus committee decided that as per the above instructions of SEIAA, all such cases should be appraised on the priority on the basis the lease documents available in the file/representation submitted by the PP.

Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Committee decided to call the PP in subsequent meetings and even it the PP remains absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.”

Today, this case was scheduled again for presentation wherein it is recorded that Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the SEAC-II -52th meeting, dated-12/10/2016. Committee decided to give last chance to PP for making presentation in the subsequent meetings of SEAC after which the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project”.

Case was presented by the PP and their Consultant and during presentation and discussion it was observed by committee that as per the co-ordinates provided by PP in the surface plan & contour map attached with the approved mine plan, the lease area of this case is overlapping with the lease area of other case (no. 5256/2015 and 4502/2015) as per the co-ordinates provided in the surface plan & contour map attached with the approved mine plans (which is also listed in today's agenda). Hence committee after deliberations decided that PP may be asked to submit Revised Co-ordinates of the lease area which should be duly verified by their concerned mining officer and surface plan approved by concerned Director, Geology & Mining through SEIAA.

It was further recommended by the committee that earlier SEAC in its 64th meeting dated 16/01/2017 has recommended the Case No.4502/15 for EC which belongs to M/s. Sainik Foods (P) Ltd., Shri Kailash Chandra Gupta, Sub Lessee, M.P. State Mining Corp. Ltd., HIGGD-5 Dindayal Nagar Phase-I, Kanth Road, Muradabad (UP) 461 661 Prior Environment Clearance for approval of Sand Quarry in an area of 20.405 ha. (1,50,000 cum/Year) at Khasra No. 1 at Village – Khojanpur, Tehsil – Hoshagabad, Distt. Hoshangabad (M.P.) should be kept in abeyance as the co-ordinates of this case are overlapping with the co-ordinates of case no. 4823/2015 and PP of this case may also be asked to submit verified co-ordinates through concerned mining officer. If there is any mismatch found with the co-ordinates given in surface plan attached with approved mine plan, PP should submit revised surface plan with correct co-ordinates duly approved by concerned Director, Geology & Mining through SEIAA and case needs to be re-appraised on the basis of revised co-ordinates.

5. Case No.4461/15 Shri Tejendra Singh, M/s Digiana Industries Pvt. Ltd., Sub Lessee, M.P. State Mining Corporation Ltd., G-1, Sapna Chambers, 12/1, South Tukoganj, Indore (MP)-452001. Prior Environment Clearance for approval of Sand Quarry in an area of 10.00 ha. (2,25,000 cum/year) at Khasra No.-87/2, Village-Aanchalkheda, Tehsil-Babai, District-Hoshangabad (MP).

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located at Khasra No.-87/2, Village-Aanchalkheda, Tehsil-Babai, District-Hoshangabad (MP) 10.00 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 1000 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.2975, dated: 10/8/2015 has reported that there are 05 more mines operating or proposed within 1000 meters around the said mine with total area of 331.672 ha including this mine.

Earlier this case was placed in 57th SEAC-II meeting dated-09/11/2016 wherein it was observed that; *this case was placed in 52nd SEAC-II meeting dated-12/10/2016 wherein it was observed that;*

“Please refer the minutes of 259 SEAC meeting dated-06/01/2016 where TOR were approved

As per the above, the TOR was issued to the PP vide letter no. 424 dated 02/03/2016.

MP State Mining Corporation Limited, Bhopal vide their letter no. 397 dated 09/08/2016 has also informed that it is a case of non-cluster as per GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated 01/07/2016 as this lease was sanctioned prior to the 09/09/2013. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no. 484 dated 29/07/2016 has issued a certificate stating that above lease was sanctioned vide order no. F4-1/2010/12/01 dated 22/09/2010 and thus does not falls under the perview of cluster approach as per GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated 01/07/2016.

SEIAA vide letter no. 3173/SEIAA/16 dated 16/08/2016 and 3240/SEIAA/16 dated 26/08/2016 has also instructed to appraise such cases on priority as per GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated 01/07/2016 and thus committee decided that as per the above instructions of SEIAA, all such cases should be appraised on the priority on the basis the lease documents available in the file/representation submitted by the PP.

Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Committee decided to call the PP in subsequent meetings and even it the PP remains absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.”

Today, this case was scheduled again for presentation wherein it is recorded that Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the SEAC-II -52th meeting, dated-12/10/2016. Committee decided to give last chance to PP for making presentation in the subsequent meetings of SEAC after which the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project”.

Case was presented by the PP and their Consultant and during presentation and discussion it was observed by committee that as per the co-ordinates provided by PP in the surface plan & contour map attached with the approved mine plan, the lease area of this case is overlapping with the lease area of other case (no. 3941/2015) as per the co-ordinates provided in the surface plan & contour map attached with the approved mine plans (which is also listed in today's agenda). Hence committee after deliberations decided that PP may be asked to submit Revised Co-ordinates of the lease area which should be duly verified by their concerned mining officer and surface plan approved by concerned Director, Geology & Mining through SEIAA.

6. Case No. 5333/2016 M/s Digiana Industries Pvt. Ltd, G-1, Sapna Chambers, 12/1, South Tukoganj, Indore, MP. Prior Environment Clearance for River Sand Quarry in an area of

18.0 ha. (2,70,000 cubic meter per year) at Khasra No. 1/1 at Village - Pawarkheda , Tehsil - Babai, Dist. Hoshangabad (MP)

This is case of River Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located Khasra No. 1/1 at Village - Pawarkheda, Tehsil - Babai, Dist. Hoshangabad (MP) 18.0 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 500 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer through Ekal –praman-patr vide letter no. 564, dated: 13/06/16 has reported that there are 03 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 298.31 ha including this mine.

Earlier this case was discussed in SEAC-II -59th meeting, dated-11/11/2016, wherein it was observed that: This case was discussed in SEAC-II -50th meeting, dated-20/09/2016, wherein it was observed that: Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Committee decided to call the PP in subsequent meetings and even if the PP remains absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

Today, this case was scheduled again for presentation wherein it is recorded that Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the SEAC-II 50th meeting dated 20/09/2016. Committee decided to give last chance to PP for making presentation in the subsequent meetings of SEAC after which the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

Case was presented by the PP and their Consultant and during presentation and discussion it was observed by committee that as per the co-ordinates provided by PP in the surface plan & contour map attached with the approved mine plan, the lease area of this case is overlapping with the lease area of other case (no. 5334/2016) as per the co-ordinates provided in the surface plan & contour map attached with the approved mine plans (which is also listed in today's agenda). Hence committee after deliberations decided that PP may be asked to submit Revised Co-ordinates of the lease area which should be duly verified by their concerned mining officer and surface plan approved by concerned Director, Geology & Mining through SEIAA.

7. Case No. 5334/2016 M/s Digiana Industries Pvt. Ltd, G-1, Sapna Chambers, 12/1, South Tukoganj, Indore, MP. Prior Environment Clearance for River Sand Quarry in an area of 23.0 ha. (345,000 cubic meter per year) (Khasra no. 1/1) at Village- Pawarkheda, Tehsil - Babai, Dist. Hoshangabad (MP)

This is case of River Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located (Khasra no. 1/1) at Village- Pawarkheda, Tehsil - Babai, Dist. Hoshangabad (MP) 23.0 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 500 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no. 564, dated: 13/06/16 has reported that there are 05 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 324.61 ha including this mine.

Earlier this case was discussed in SEAC-II -59th meeting, dated-11/11/2016, wherein it was observed that: *This case was discussed in SEAC-II -50th meeting, dated-20/09/2016, wherein it was observed that: Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Committee decided to call the PP in subsequent meetings and even if the PP remains absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.*

Today, this case was scheduled again for presentation wherein it is recorded that Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the SEAC-II 50th meeting, dated 20/09/2016. Committee decided to give last chance to PP for making presentation in the subsequent meetings of SEAC after which the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

Case was presented by the PP and their Consultant and during presentation and discussion it was observed by committee that as per the co-ordinates provided by PP in the surface plan & contour map attached with the approved mine plan, the lease area of this case is overlapping with the lease area of other case (no. 5333/2016) as per the co-ordinates provided in the surface plan & contour map attached with the approved mine plans (which is also listed in today's agenda). Hence committee after deliberations decided that PP may be asked to submit Revised

Co-ordinates of the lease area which should be duly verified by their concerned mining officer and surface plan approved by concerned Director, Geology & Mining through SEIAA.

8. Case No. – 2834/2015 Shri Anurag Dixit S/o Shri Girish Kumar Dixit, M-48, R.K. Puri, Thatipur, Gwalior. Sand Quarry Lease Area- 6.430 ha., Capacity - 109953 cum/year, at Khasra No. – 60, 1038, Vill.-Ganiyar, Teh.-Narwar, District-Shivpuri (MP)

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located at Khasra No. – 60, 1038, Vill.-Ganiyar, Teh.-Narwar, District-Shivpuri (MP) 6.430 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 500 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no. 286 dated: 01/04/2015 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

Earlier this case was discussed in 259th SEAC meeting, dated-06/01/2016, wherein it was observed that: *The case was presented by the PP and their consultant wherein it was observed that in mine plan the method of mining appears to be “Mechanized”. However, during deliberations PP informed that mining will be “Manual” and by mistake use of JCB machines has been mentioned. PP has also submitted an affidavit during presentation that mining will be done manually.*

The EMS and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure ‘B’:

- *Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 1,09,953 cum/year.*
- *Only manual mining be permitted.*

SEIAA vide letter no-3696 dated:20-09-2016 again forwarded this file stating that: This case was discussed in 360th SEIAA meeting dtd.12-08-2016 and the case was delisted as PP has not submitted complete information of the mining site. PP has now submitted a letter on 19-08-2016 enclosing the replenishment plan and other information. It has now been decided to relist the case and send it to SEAC-II for appraisal.

Based on the above, the case was scheduled for presentation in SEAC-II -60th meeting, dated-24/11/2016, wherein it was observed that: *Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the SEAC II 55th meeting, dated-15/10/2016. Committee decided to give last chance to PP for making presentation in the subsequent meetings of SEAC after which the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.*

Today, Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Earlier PP was also absent in the SEAC II 55th meeting, dated-15/10/2016 & SEAC-II -60th meeting dated 24/11/2016, Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities have been given to the PP for appraisal and consideration of the project wherein PP remain absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

9. Case No.4203/15 Shri Kailash Chandra Gupta, M/s Esteem Infrabuild Pvt. Ltd., Sub Lessee, M/s M.P. State Mining Corp. Ltd., P-4, Lal Bahadur Nagar, Durgapura, Jaipur. Prior Environment Clearance for approval of Sand Quarry in an area of 23.810 ha. (2,38,100 cum/year) at Khasra no.-1576, Village-Ajnaar, Tehsil-Lahar, District-Bhind (MP).

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located at Khasra no.-1576, Village-Ajnaar, Tehsil-Lahar, District-Bhind (MP) 23.810 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 1000 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.22, dated: 19/08/15, has reported that there are 02 more mines operating or proposed within 1000 meters around the said mine with total area of 36.810 ha including this mine.

Earlier this case was discussed in 61st SEAC-II meeting dated-25/11/16 wherein it was recorded that:

Please refer the minutes of 257th SEAC meeting dated-04/01/2016 where TOR were approved

As per the above, the TOR was issued to the PP vide letter no. 486 dated 02/03/2016.

MP State Mining Corporation Limited, Bhopal vide their letter no.403 dated 09/08/2016 has also informed that it is a case of non-cluster as per GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated 01/07/2016 as this lease was sanctioned prior to the 09/09/2013. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.1355 dated 30/07/2016 has issued a certificate stating that above lease was sanctioned vide order no. F4-1/2010/12/01 dated 22/09/2010 and thus does not falls under the pervue of cluster approach as per GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated 01/07/2016.

SEIAA vide letter no. 3173/SEIAA/16 dated 16/08/2016 and 3240/SEIAA/16 dated 26/08/2016 has also instructed to appraise such cases on priority as per GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated 01/07/2016 and thus committee decided that as per the above instructions of SEIAA, all such cases should be appraised on the priority on the basis the lease documents available in the file/representation submitted by the PP.

This case was scheduled again for presentation in the 61st SEAC II meeting dated 25/11/2016 wherein it is recorded that Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 53rd SEAC-II meeting dated-13/10/16. Committee decided to give last chance to PP for making presentation in the subsequent meetings of SEAC after which the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant wherein during presentation it was observed by the committee that PP so far has not furnished the replenishment plan duly approved by the competent authority. The committee after deliberations decided that as per the policy decision taken by the SEIAA (284th. MEETING 23/01/2016) all the cases of sand mining are now to be appraised based on the revised mine plan incorporating the additional chapter on annual replenishment of sand in the leased area and the normal water level prevalent in the lean season and decided that the case file may be sent back to SEIAA for want of revised mine plan incorporating the additional chapter on annual replenishment of sand in the leased area and the normal water level prevalent in the lean season and appraisal may be carried out only after receipt of revised mine plan with annual replenishment.

10. Case No.-5497/2016 M/s Pawar Constructions, Ward No. 34, Mata Mandir Colony, Chandangaon, Tehsil & Dist. Chhindwara, MP – 480001 (SIA/MP/MIN/61219/16). Prior Environment Clearance for River Sand Deposit Quarry in an area of 7.0 ha. (5000 cum per annum) (Khasra no. 484) at Village- Chandangaon, Tehsil - Chhindwara, Dist. Chhindwara (MP)

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located at Khasra no. 484 at Village- Chandangaon, Tehsil - Chhindwara, Dist. Chhindwara (MP) 7.00 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 500 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer through Ekal Praman-patr vide letter no.320, dated: 29/02/16, has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

Case was presented by the PP and their Consultant and during presentation and discussion it was observed that as per the Google image of October 2016, lease is submerged in water body wherein PP submitted that enough sand is available after rainy season. However as per the Google image of May 2016, sand is available on lease and demanded volume can be evacuated. The other submissions made by PP were found satisfactory and acceptable and thus the committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 5,000 cum/year from the upper north side of the QL.
2. District Authority should record the deposition of sand in the lease area at an interval of 50 meters annually in the last week of September and maintain the records in RL (Reduce Level) Measurement Book. Accordingly authority may allow lease holder to excavate the replenished quantity of sand in the subsequent year.
3. Evacuation of sand should not be allowed through the roads passing through the villages.
4. Heavy vehicles (Hywa) should not be allowed on Kachcha, narrow roads.
5. If causeway (Rapta) is required to be constructed for mining. It should be removed completely before rainy season every year.
6. The river bank from where access ramps are made should be restored and access should be closed every year before rainy season.
7. No diversion of active channel should be allowed for mining.

11. Case No.-5499/2016 Arunesh Pratap Singh Baghel, P.O. Vijayraghgarh, Dist. Katni, MP (SIA/MP/MIN/5747/15). Prior Environment Clearance for Limestone & Dolomite Mine in an area of 5.958 ha. (1,70,000 TPA) (Khasra no. 55, 63/1, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68) at Village- Jamuani Khurd, Tehsil - Vijayraghgarh, Dist. Katni (MP).

This is case of Limestone & Dolomite Mine. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at Khasra no. 55, 63/1, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, Village-

Jamuani Khurd, Tehsil - Vijayraghgarh, Dist. Katni (MP).5.958 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant wherein it was observed that being it's a case of major mineral committee recommended to issue standard TOR prescribed by the MoEF&CC for conducting the EIA along with following additional TOR's:-

1. Detailed evacuation plan with transport route, required infrastructure and man-power is to be discussed in the EIA report.
2. If on the evacuation route there are human settlements justify how they will be protected or suggest alternate evacuation route.
3. Transportation plan & traffic management plan should be discussed in the EIA report.
4. Inventory of all sensitive receptors in 2 Km & 5 Km around the mine.
5. Mine water discharge plan with details of garland drains and settling tanks should be detailed out on a map in the EIA report.
6. Compliance of consent conditions duly authenticated by concerned Regional Officer, MP Pollution Control Board.
7. Year wise details of minerals already excavated till date should be submitted with EIA report.
8. PP has to submit the lease validity extension certificate along with EIA report.
9. Atleast 08 stations should be selected for monitoring and results should be discussed in the EIA report.
10. Hydro geological study should be carried out if ground water intersection is proposed.
11. Free silica study should also incorporate in EIA report.
12. Top soil management plan should be addressed in EIA report.
13. Input data of modeling should be addressed in EIA along with this all back up calculation.
14. Onsite pictures of monitoring and survey along with date and time on photographs should be attached with the EIA report.
15. Inventory of all existing trees and if any tree is to be uprooted, then it should be clearly addressed in EIA.
16. Ground water table data should be compared with data of Central Ground Water Board authorities nearest sampling point.
17. Water quality of all the villages within 10 k.m radius should be studied and result should be incorporated in final EIA report.

12.Case No.-5314/2016 Shri Narayan Singh Sondhya, Salariyakheda, Teh. Biora, Dist. Rajgarh. (SIA/MP/MIN/56728/2016). Prior Environment Clearance for Sand deposit in an area of 10.0 ha. (15000 cubic meter per year) at Khasra no.-76, Village-Ragunathpura, Tehsil - Makhsudangargh, Dist. Guna (MP)

This is case of Sand deposit. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located at Khasra no.-76, Village-Ragunathpura, Tehsil - Makhsudangargh, Dist. Guna (MP) 10.0 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 1000 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no. 870 dated: 09/03/16 has reported that there is no more mine operating or proposed within 1000 meters around the said mine.

Earlier this case was discussed in 56th SEAC-II meeting dated-08/11/16 wherein it was recorded that:

The case was discussed in the 46th SEAC II meeting dated 26/08/2016 wherein “Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Committee decided to call the PP in subsequent meetings and even if the PP remains absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project”.

Today, Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Earlier PP was also absent in the 46th SEAC II meeting dated 26/08/2016. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities have been given to the PP for appraisal and consideration of the project wherein PP remain absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

Today, this case was again placed in agenda as SEIAA has been forwarded this case to SEAC vide letter no.-5084 dated-24/01/2017 stating that the “PP has reasons for non presentation of their case in SEAC and requested for relisting as now they are ready with the presentation. Therefore it has been decided to relist the case and revert the case to SEAC for appraisal.

Based on the above, the case was scheduled for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Committee decided to give last chance to PP for making presentation in the subsequent meetings of SEAC after which the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

13. Case No.4377/15 Shri Atul Gondal, M/s A.D. Agro Foods Private Ltd., Nehru Ward, Pipariya, District-Hoshangabad (MP)-477001. Prior Environment Clearance for approval of Sand Quarry in an area of 21.940 ha. (1,31,640 cum/year) at Khasra no.-718, Village-Gajapur, Tehsil-Dabra, District-Gwalior (MP)

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located at Khasra no.-718, Village-Gajapur, Tehsil-Dabra, District-Gwalior (MP) 21.940 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 1000 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.Q/3 dated: 13/8/2015 has reported that there are 01 more mines operating or proposed within 1000 meters around the said mine with total area of 29.95 ha including this mine.

Earlier this case was discussed in 48th SEAC-II meeting dated-28/08/16 wherein it was recorded that:

Please refer the minutes of 259th SEAC meeting dated 06/01/2016 wherein TOR were approved

As per the above, the TOR was issued to the PP vide letter no. 418 dated 02/03/2016.

MP State Mining Corporation Limited, Bhopal vide their letter no 409 dated 09/08/2016 has also informed that it is a case of non-cluster as per GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated 01/07/2016 as this lease was sanctioned prior to the 09/09/2013. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.1046 dated 29/07/2016 has issued a certificate stating that above lease was sanctioned vide order no. F4-1/2010/12/01 dated 22/09/2010 and thus does not falls under the perview of cluster approach as per GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated 01/07/2016.

SEIAA vide letter no. 3173/SEIAA/16 dated 16/08/2016 and 3240/SEIAA/16 dated 26/08/2016 has also instructed to appraise such cases on priority as per GOI, MoEF&CC notification dated 01/07/2016 and thus committee decided that as per the above instructions of SEIAA, all such cases should be appraised on the priority on the basis the lease documents available in the file/representation submitted by the PP.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant wherein during presentation it was observed that as per the Google image of February 2016 based on the co-ordinate submitted by the PP, approximately 60% of the lease area is submerged in water. PP during presentation informed that in mining plan they have proposed only 7.00 ha. area as minable area from

sanctioned lease area of 21.940 ha and thus 1,31,640 cum/year sand can be easily evacuated. During presentation it was also observed that replenishment plan is not submitted by the PP. Thus PP was asked to submit revised mine plan incorporating the additional chapter on annual replenishment of sand in the leased area and the normal water level prevalent in the lean season as per the policy decision taken by SEIAA in its 284th meeting dated 23/01/2016.

Today, this case was again placed in agenda as PP has submitted the requisite document i.e Replenishment Plan duly approved by the competent authority which was placed before committee and after perusal of replenishment plan it was observed that the desired volume of sand can be evacuated by the PP. The other submissions made by PP earlier were found satisfactory and acceptable and thus the committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'B':

1. Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 1, 31,640 cum/year.
2. District Authority should record the deposition of sand in the lease area at an interval of 100 meters annually in the last week of September and maintain the records in RL (Reduce Level) Measurement Book. Accordingly authority may allow lease holder to excavate the replenished quantity of sand in the subsequent year.
3. Evacuation of sand should not be allowed through the roads passing through the villages.
4. Heavy vehicles (Hywa) should not be allowed on Kachcha, narrow roads.
5. If causeway (Rapta) is required to be constructed for mining. It should be removed completely before rainy season every year.
6. The river bank from where access ramps are made should be restored and access should be closed every year before rainy season.
7. No diversion of active channel should be allowed for mining.

14. Case No. - 2862/2015 Shri Visas Sharma, Manager Colony, Stendhal, Distt. - Bargain (MP)-451666. Mehatgaon River Sand-Bajri Deposit Lease Area - 7.770 ha. Capacity -7,425 cum/year, at Khasra No.- 38, Vill.-Mehatgaon, Teh.-Sendhwa, District-Barwani (MP)

This is case of Mehatgaon River Sand-Bajri Deposit. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site, at Khasra No. - 38, Vill.-Mehatgaon, Teh.-Sendhwa, District-Barwani (MP) 7.770 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 1000 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.266,

dated: 31/03/15, has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 1000 meters around the said mine.

Earlier this case was discussed in 61st SEAC-II meeting dated-25/11/2016 wherein it was observed that: *This case was discussed in 58th SEAC-II meeting dated-10/11/2016 wherein it was observed that: Earlier this case was recommended for E.C in 213th SEAC meeting dated-08/08/2015. After this case was discussed in 372nd SEIAA meeting dtd-28/09/2016 and it has been recorded in the minutes that: "This case was recommended by SEAC in 213th meeting dtd-08/08/2015 in 233rd SEIAA meeting dtd-04/09/2015 a query was raised for collector, Barwani to submit NOC of the works Department for the stability of two bridges following within the lease area. Therefore EE, PWD Indore has reported that no mining activity should be carried out in 500 m. distance from the road bridge and also deep mining should be prohibited. PP and other concerned were asked to modify the mining plan on account of the NOC provided by the EE, PWD."*

Subsequently the case was delisted on account of non submission of desired information by the PP. Now PP has submitted the requisite information (modified mining plan) and therefore the case is hereby relisted and sent to SEAC-II for re-appraisal by SEIAA vide letter no 4215 dated-18/10/2016.

Today, case was placed for discussion and presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Committee decided to call the PP in subsequent meetings and even if the PP remains absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project."

Today, this case was scheduled again for presentation wherein it is recorded that Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 58th SEAC-II meeting dated-10/11/16. Thus Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities have been given to the PP for appraisal and consideration of the project wherein PP remain absent in the 58th and 61st SEAC meetings, the case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

Today, this case was again placed in agenda as SEIAA has been forwarded this case to SEAC vide letter no.-5135 dated-30/01/2017 stating that the "PP has submitted an application dated-09/01/2017 for relisting the case as they are ready with the presentation before SEAC. It has been decided to relist the case and send the file to SEAC for appraisal.

Based on the above, the case was scheduled for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. However PP vide letter dated 07/02/2017 requested to schedule this case in next upcoming SEAC meeting as due to some unavoidable condition of family he couldn't present it today. Committee decided to give last chance to PP for making presentation in the subsequent meetings of SEAC after which the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

15. Case No. 4094/15 Shri Som Prakash, Director, M/s Som Projects Pvt. Ltd., D-09, HIG Colony, Indore (MP)-452008. Prior Environment Clearance for approval of Stone Quarry in an area of 4.00 ha. (Stone-66,229 & Murrum-14,677 cum/year) at Khasra no.-131/1/1/2, Village-Rangwasa, Tehsil-Depalpur, District-Indore (MP).

This is case of Stone quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located at Khasra No. 131/1/1/2 at Village-Rangwasa, Tehsil-Depalpur, District-Indore (MP) 4.00 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 500 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no. 1601 dated: 16/12/2016 has reported that there are 05 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 18.926 ha including this mine.

Earlier this case was discussed in SEAC 267th meeting dated-15/01/16 wherein it was recorded that:

This is case of Stone quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located at Khasra No. 131/1/1/2 at Village-Rangwasa, Tehsil-Depalpur, District-Indore (MP) 4.00 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 500 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no. 1820 dated: 19/8/2015 has reported that there are no more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The EMS and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure 'A':

- *Production as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding for Stone 66,229 & and for Murram 14,677 cum/year.*
- *A village road is about 40 meters away from the QL area thus a setback of 10 meters be left from the ML area in addition to the 7.5 meters mandatory buffer zone and additional row of plantation should be provided towards roads side.*

Today, this case was again placed in agenda as SEIAA has been forwarded this case to SEAC vide letter no.-5133 dated-30/01/2017 stating that the “PP has submitted the information regarding number of mines within 500 m. radius and therefore the case is hereby relisted for appraisal. In the information submitted, Collector, Indore has now reported 5 mines (mining area 14.926 ha) within 500 m. radius, whereas in the recommendation of 267th SEAC meeting earlier the number of mines were reported to be nil. Therefore it has been decided to revert the case to SEAC for reappraisal in view of the modified information submitted by Collector, Indore.

Based on the above, the case was scheduled for presentation but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Committee decided to call the PP in subsequent meetings and even if the PP remains absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

(Mohd. Akram Khan, Member)

(Prashant Shrivastava, Member)

(R. K. Sharma, Member)

(A.K. Sharma, Member)

(Dr. Navin Chandra, Chairman)

Following standard conditions shall be applicable for the mining projects of minor mineral in addition to the specific conditions:

Annexure- 'A'

Standard conditions applicable to Stone/Murrum and Soil quarries:

1. The amount towards reclamation of the pit and land in MLA shall be carried out through the mining department. The appropriate amount as estimated for the activity by mining department has to be deposited with the Collector to take up the activity after the mine is exhausted.
2. PP shall be responsible for discrepancy (if any) in the submissions made by the PP to SEAC & SEIAA
3. Transportation of material shall be done in covered vehicles.
4. Necessary consents shall be obtained from MPPCB and the air/water pollution control measures have to be installed as per the recommendation of MPPCB.
5. Curtaining of site shall be done using appropriate media.
6. The proposed plantation should be carried out along with the mining @45 trees per hectare and PP would maintain the plants for five years including casualty replacement.
7. Transportation shall not be carried out through forest area.
8. Appropriate activities shall be taken up for social up-liftment of the area. Funds reserved towards the same shall be utilized through Gram Panchayat.
9. PP will take adequate precautions so as not to cause any damage to the flora and fauna during mining operations.
10. PP should maintain a log book wherein daily details of water sprinkling and vehicle movement are recorded.
11. PP should also maintain a log book containing annual details of tree plantation and causality replacement.
12. The validity of the EC shall be as per the provisions of EIA Notification subject to the following: Expansion or modernization in the project, entailing capacity addition with change in process and or technology and any change in product - mix in proposed mining unit shall require a fresh Environment Clearance.
13. Mining should be done as per the submitted land use plan submitted by PP.

Annexure- 'B'

Standard conditions applicable for the sand Mine Quarries*

1. The amount towards reclamation of the land in MLA shall be carried out through the mining department; the appropriate amount as estimated for the activity by mining department has to be deposited with the Collector to take up the activity after the mine is exhausted.
2. PP shall be responsible for discrepancy (if any) in the submissions made by the PP to SEAC & SEIAA.
3. Plantation shall be carried out on the banks for stabilization of the banks.
4. The mining activity shall be done manually.
5. No heavy vehicles shall be allowed to enter the river bed and the transportation of the sand from the excavation pits of the leased area to the loading point shall be through trollies (tractor trollies) and not by heavy vehicles. Only registered tractor trollies which are having the necessary registration and permission for the aforesaid purpose under the Motor Vehicle Act and also insurance coverage for the same shall alone be used for said purpose.
6. Transport vehicles will be covered with tarpoline to minimize dust/sand particle emissions.
7. For carrying out mining in proximity to any bridge and/or embankment, appropriate safety zone on upstream as well as on downstream from the periphery of the mining site shall be ensured taking into account the structural parameters, location aspects, flow rate, etc., and no mining shall be carried out in the safety zone.
8. No Mining shall be carried out during Monsoon season.
9. The depth of mining shall be restricted to 3m or water level, whichever is less.
10. No in-stream mining shall be allowed.
11. The mining shall be carried out strictly as per the approved mining plan and ensure that the annual replenishment of sand in the mining lease area is sufficient to sustain the mining operations at levels prescribed in the mining plan.
12. Established water conveyance channels should not be relocated, straightened, or modified.
13. If the stream is dry, the excavation must not proceed beyond the lowest undisturbed elevation of the stream bottom, which is a function of local hydraulics, hydrology, and geomorphology.
14. After mining is complete, the edge of the pit should be graded to a 2.5:1 slope in the direction of the flow.
15. PP shall take Socio-economic activities in the region through the 'Gram Panchayat'.
16. EC will be valid for mine lease period subject to a ceiling of 5 years.
17. Mining should be done as per the submitted land use plan submitted by PP.

Annexure- 'C'

Standard conditions applicable for the Khodu Bharu sand Mine Quarries*

1. Mining should be done only to the extent of reclaiming the agricultural land.
2. Only deposited sand is to be removed and no mining/digging below the ground level is allowed.
3. The amount towards reclamation of the land in MLA shall be carried out through the mining department; the appropriate amount as estimated for the activity by mining department has to be deposited with the Collector to take up the activity after the mine is exhausted.
4. PP shall be responsible for discrepancy (if any) in the submissions made by the PP to SEAC & SEIAA.
5. The mining activity shall be done manually.
6. Heavy vehicles shall not be allowed for removal of sand.
7. The sand shall be transported by small trolleys up to the main transport vehicle.
8. Transport vehicles will be covered with tarpoline to minimize dust/sand particle emissions.
9. No Mining shall be carried out during Monsoon season.
10. PP shall take Socio-economic activity in the region through the 'Gram Panchayat'.
11. EC will be valid for mine lease period/mine plan subject to a ceiling of 5 years.
12. The mining shall be carried out strictly as per the approved mining plan.